

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,984	09/26/2001	Philip Sicola	R255-DB	2964	
7590 01/05/2004			EXAMINER		
David A. Belasco, Esq.			PIAZZA CORCORAN, GLADYS JOSEFINA		
BEEHLER & P Suite 330	AVIII		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Corporate Pointe Culver City, CA 90230			1733	•	
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- •				$( \land )$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/964,984	SICOLA, PHILIP	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Gladys J Piazza (	•	
T Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communicated the communicated th	ation appears on the c ver	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the first of the maximum statution of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statution reply within the set or extended period for reply will received by the Office later than three months after a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hower ication. days, a reply within the statutory minitory period will apply and will expire SI, by statute, cause the application to	wer, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	∍ly. communication.
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 October 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ⊤r	is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		•	
	nce this application is in condition for osed in accordance with the practice			e merits is
Disposition	of Claims			
4a) 5)∭ Cl: 6)∭ Cl: 7)∭ Cl:	aim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app Of the above claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are values, or is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-10,12-15 and 23-26</u> is/are reaim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to. aim(s) <u>11</u> are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from considerat		
Application				
• •	e specification is objected to by the E	- - - - - -		
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		cted to by the Examiner.	
	plicant may not request that any objection		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11) <u> </u>	e oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the a	attached Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a)	knowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International the attached detailed Office action frowledgment is made of a claim for the application from the included in FR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign languations are the constant of the foreign languation of the foreign languation was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been received the priority documents have been received the priority documents have been received the priority documents have a list of the certified copped to a list of the certified copped to the first sentence of the sage provisional application domestic priority under 35	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this National a)). bies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional specification or in an Application n has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)				
Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO) ther:	



Art Unit: 1733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species A in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged.
- Claims 16-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
   1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species A2 and Group II there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 7, 10, 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Independent claims 1, 6, 23, 25 and recite that the bottom surface of the second end of the lamination support members is disposed on the horizontal surface, while the dependent claims 2, 7, 24, 26 recite that the bottom surface of the lamination supports are disposed on the first and second die boards. There is no disclosure in the Specification of the bottom surface of the second end of the lamination support members being disposed on the horizontal surface and the second die board.

Art Unit: 1733

- 5. Claims 2, 7, 10, 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As discussed above, there is no disclosure in the Specification and it is unclear how one of ordinary skill in the art could provide an apparatus with a bottom surface of the second end of the lamination support members being disposed on the horizontal surface and the second die board as claimed.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 2, 7, 10, 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claims 2, 7, 10, 24, 26 are unclear by reciting that a bottom surface of the second end of the lamination support members being disposed on the horizontal surface and the second die board. It is unclear how this is possible with the current limitations of the apparatus.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -



----

Art Unit: 1733

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 12, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Brown (US Patent No. 4,471,710).

Brown discloses an apparatus with a planar horizontal surface, a first die board disposed on the planar horizontal surface, and a plurality of lamination support members with bottom surfaces on the first curved upper surface of the first die board (see figure 6). As to the limitation that the bottom surface adjacent a second end of the lamination support members is disposed upon the horizontal surface, the apparatus in Brown is considered to be capable of this limitation when assembling the parts together, prior to the last die boards being assembled to the lamination support members.

Alternatively, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to assemble the apparatus as shown in Brown by assembling the lamination support members onto the first die board member at the end of the apparatus at which point the bottom surface of the second end of the lamination support members would be disposed on the horizontal surface. Only the expected results would be attained.

Art Unit: 1733

As to claims 2, 24, Brown shows a second die board with a curved upper surface and a lower surface on the horizontal surface and the bottom surfaces of the lamination support members disposed on the first and second curved upper surfaces of the first and second die boards. As to claim 4, the lamination support members are rectangular in cross section. As to claims 6 and 7, 25 and 26, the lamination support members have notches to fit over the die boards (see figure 6). As to claim 12, the first die board has an upward facing curve surface.

12. Claims 3, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 4,471,710) as applied to claim 1, 6 above, and further in view of conventional practice.

As to claim 3 where the lamination support members are cylindrical, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide lamination support members of any desired cross section, in particular cylindrical. Only the expected results would be attained. As to claim 13, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide lamination support members of any desired cross section, including an upper curved surface in order to provide the desired mold surface, it is noted that the members form a curved surface in the apparatus. Only the expected results would be attained.

13. Claims 5, 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 4,471,710) as applied to claims 1, 6 above, and further in view of

of Vogelsang (US Patent No. 3,444,568).

Brown in order to provide the particular desired shape.

Art Unit: 1733

Lang (US Patent No. 4,971,743), Giorgi (US Patent No. 3856,592), and/or Barnett (US Patent No. 154,576).

It is considered conventionally well known in the art to provide a resilient insulating material between a mold and the product to be molded in order to protect the product and provide a uniform pressure against the product during molding. For example, the references Lang, Giorgi, and/or Barnett all disclose providing a resilient material for insulating products during molding. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the mold apparatus as shown in Brown with an insulating material as is well known in the art and further exemplified by Lang, Giorgi, or Barnett. Only the expected results would be attained.

14. Claims 9, 10, 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US Patent No. 4,471,710) as applied to claims 6 above, and further in view

It is unclear what the exact shape of the notches in Brown are, however it would have been well within the art at the time of the invention to provide the notches with a variety of well known shapes as desired for the particular application. For example, Vogelsang discloses notches with downwardly facing curves and an orifice connected to the sidewalls (see figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus as shown in Brown with a variety of shaped notches as are considered well known in the art and exemplified by

**Art Unit: 1733** 

# Allowable Subject Matter

15. Absent any additional prior art, Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: No prior Art was found to show or suggest an apparatus as claimed with a series of closely spaced cuts on the top surface of the lamination support members in order to permit a three-dimensional contoured configuration as claimed in the claimed environment.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gladys J Piazza Corcoran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1214. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5:30pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1733

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gladys J Piazza Corcoran

Examiner Art Unit 1733

**GJPC**